SAU 41 GOVERNING BOARD DECEMBER 15, 2016 PUBLIC HEARING MEETING MINUTES

A Public Hearing was conducted by the SAU 41 Governing Board on Thursday, December 15, 2016 at 6:21 p.m. at the Hollis/Brookline Middle School.

Tom Solon, Chairman, presided:

Members of the Board Present: Laurie Miller, Vice Chairman

Lauren DiGennaro, Treasurer Chris Adams, Hollis/Brookline COOP Tom Enright, Hollis School Board

Matthew Maguire, Chairman, Brookline School Board

Robert Mann, Chairman, Hollis School Board

Eric Pauer, Hollis/Brookline COOP

Erin Sarris, Secretary, Brookline School Board Michelle St. John, Secretary, Hollis School Board Cindy VanCoughnett, Hollis/Brookline COOP

Krista Whalen, Hollis/Brookline COOP

Members of the Board Absent: Tammy Fareed, Secretary

Holly Deurloo Babcock, Secretary, Hollis/Brookline COOP

Elizabeth Brown, Chairman, Hollis/Brookline COOP

Kenneth Haag, Brookline School Board

Patricia Lynch, Vice Chairman, Brookline School Board

Also in Attendance: Andrew Corey, Superintendent

Gina Bergskaug, Assistant Superintendent Kelly Seeley, Business Administrator

Linda Sherwood, Assistant Business Administrator

SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT #41 FY18 BUDGET

Chairman Solon stated the purpose of the public hearing was to present and obtain public input on the SAU41 FY18 proposed budget. He explained the budget would be voted on by the SAU41 Governing Board after completion of the Public Hearing. An explanation will be provided of the default budget, which would be the amount of the FY17 approved budget adjusted to address contractual requirements.

The budget approved by the SAU41 Governing Board will go before the voters in March. It will be voted on at each of the district meetings. The total combined vote of all three districts determines the outcome. There is no opportunity to amend the budget at the district meetings; up or down vote.

Mr. Pauer noted it would be a Secret Ballot vote in the Brookline School District on Town Election Day (SB2).

The following overview was provided by the Treasurer, Lauren DiGennaro:

The total appropriations/expenses proposed for FY18 is \$1,498,630 (3.54% increase over FY17). Also proposed is a transfer from fund balance of \$17,610 to be used as a revenue item. The result would be a cost to the taxpayers of \$1,481,020 (2.32% increase over FY17). The FY18 adjusted/default budget would be \$1,485,787 (2.65% increase over FY17). Were a transfer made from fund balance (\$17,610) to offset the adjusted/default budget, the total would be \$1,468,177 (1.44% increase over FY17).

The components of the budget are: salaries (66%), benefits (26%), and other (8%).

Kelly Seeley, Business Administrator, presented a slide that identified the categories that make up the budget, the approved FY17 budget amounts, the proposed allocations for FY18, and percentage of change. A notable reduction in the proposed budget is under the category of Professional Development (27.11% reduction). Ms. Seeley explained the decrease is primarily a reduction in the amount allotted for the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent. Neither

 have plans, at this time, for coursework related to their professional development. Other notable differences include Operations & Maintenance (increase of 13.50%). The increase is primarily due to the rent increase of the 4 Lund Lane facility. The rental rate has been \$8,000/year or \$1.36/sq. ft. for many years, and will increase incrementally over the next few years. The proposed increase for FY18 would bring the cost to \$13,000 or \$2.22/sq. ft. The end goal is a rental rate of \$24,939 or \$4.25/sq. ft., which is still below the retail market value.

Under benefits there are two increases: Health insurance has a Guaranteed Maximum Rate (GMR) of 15.9% (\$157,703) and the New Hampshire Retirement System (NHRS) increase is 11.38% (\$100,982).

Under wages there are numerous reasons for the increase cited (1.95%): The Human Resource Coordinator position will increase by \$5,952 as a result of additional duties and a supervisory role, the Accounting Specialist position will change from a .93 Full Time Employee (FTE) position to a 1.0 FTE position resulting in an increase of \$3,079, temporary Business Office help is anticipated at a cost of \$16,148, and salary/hourly raises at an increase of 2.5%.

Ms. Seeley stated the anticipated FY17 Unassigned Fund Balance to be \$126,127. One-time expenses for which the Administration would like to utilize fund balance include: GASB 45, which is a required post-employment benefits analysis done every other year (\$5,000) and course reimbursement for the Student Services Director who will be completing (in FY18) her certification as a Board Certified Behavior Analyst (\$12,610). That would leave an ending balance of \$108,517.

Ms. Seeley stated this to be the first year revenue from indirect costs from grants has been included in the budget (\$4,000). The Administration proposes the use of \$17,610 of fund balance as a revenue offset. Total revenue would be \$21,610.

Mr. Pauer questioned whether SAU employees are considered Group 1 employees under the NHRS, and was informed they are. Mr. Pauer asked for clarification the rate for the current year is 11.17% and for FY18 the rate will be 11.38%. Ms. Seeley stated that to be correct. Mr. Mann stated his belief Administrators, in the eye of the NHRS, are classified as teachers. Ms. Seeley stated that is not the case in the SAU. Administrators in the school buildings are classified as teachers.

Mr. Adams urged the Board to consider transferring an increased amount (perhaps an additional \$15,000) from the unassigned fund balance to offset the amount that has to be raised through taxes.

Ms. Seeley noted the Budget Sub-Committee discussed that, and felt very strongly that those funds should be retained for emergencies and to offset one-time expenditures. If used to supplement the budget, in the following year the baseline budget that you start with would be short that amount. Mr. Adams stated his preference for the unassigned fund balance to be in the area of 5% of the total operating budget.

Mr. Adams spoke of the additional funds being sought when filling the two vacated Accounting Specialist positions, and questioned the need for an increase given the likelihood the individuals hired would not be at the same salary as those who have held the positions for some time and are retiring. Ms. Seeley responded they have carried over an average of what the current individuals earn in salary, and as it turns out the individual hired is very close to that and the expectation is that the individual who fills the remaining vacancy will also be in the same area of skillset/salary. She spoke of the difficulty in identifying candidates for the positions particularly when considering a lower rate of pay.

Mr. Pauer noted the information provided indicates wages have increased 1.95% and the merit increase is up 3.87%, and requested additional clarification. Ms. Seeley explained, using the formula the COOP School District uses for their merit increase, it is half a percent of the total wages. It is distributed based on the decisions of the Superintendent and Governing Board. Mr. Pauer commented there are two increases; an increase that is built into the wages and another through merit increase. It was noted not every employee would receive a merit increase. Merit increase is based on "merit". Ms. Seeley noted the Administrative contracts indicate the Administrator will receive between 2% and 3% based on the CPI. What is budgeted for is 2.5%.

Chairman Solon commented pretty much all of the increases would be categorized as merit based in the SAU since there is no contractual obligation for it. However, the practice has been to establish a baseline increase across the board for the SAU staff, which is CPI based. The merit is being used as the differentiator based on performance. Superintendent Corey reiterated all requests for merit increases come before the SAU41 Governing Board for approval.

Mr. Adams questioned how increases typically get applied, e.g., percentage for particular groups, etc. Superintendent Corey responded there is not a particular percentage. In February the Administration reviews each individual in senior management and then with the hourly people their cost of living is the 2.5%, which is identified in the budget.

Ms. DiGennaro spoke of a calculation that utilizes the equalized valuation, which is provided by the State, and the Average Daily Membership (ADM) of the student body from the previous year to identify the combined percentage. The total budget of \$1,498,630 is reduced by the revenue, and is split by the combined percentage to identify the share of the SAU budget for each of the districts.

Mr. Pauer questioned if the apportionment utilizes the ADM from two years prior (2015-2016 school year). Ms. DiGennaro stated that to be correct noting there is the need to have a total for the year. When asked if the ADM has a financial impact, Chairman Solon noted it does have an impact as it changes from year to year.

Ms. Sarris questioned, with SB2, if the Warrant Article identified for the SAU would be on the ballot. Chairman Solon stated it would.

Mr. Pauer spoke of the intent of utilizing the unassigned fund balance to defray the amount that has to be appropriated, and questioned if the lesser amount would be listed on the Warrant. Ms. DiGennaro stated the numbers listed on the Warrant Article do represent the entirety of the budget, but the numbers that are appropriated are minus the revenue. When asked, she stated voters would know the amount they were voting to appropriate and the total amount of the budget. Chairman Solon stated that to be correct, but added, particularly in Brookline, unless the information is somehow presented during the Deliberative Session, they may well not have the explanation that the budget number reflects the total prior to the reduction resulting from the revenue associated with the unassigned fund balance.

Mr. Pauer stated agreement with the comments made by Mr. Adams in terms of utilizing a larger amount of the unassigned fund balance.

Testimony in Favor - None

Testimony in Opposition - None

Testimony in Favor - None

Testimony in Opposition - None

r

MOTION BY MEMBER PAUER TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING MOTION SECONDED BY MEMBER ADAMS MOTION CARRIED 9-0

The Public Hearing was declared closed at 6:46 p.m.

SAU Governing Board Chair _____ Date: _____